

**Comment Set C.225: Joshua Stewart**

September 29, 2006  
CA Public Utilities Commission / Angeles national Forest  
c/o Aspen Environmental Group  
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215  
Agoura Hills, Ca. 91301

RECEIVED  
OCT 12 2006

BY:.....

RE:  
Stewart Residence  
21603 W. Kyra Court  
Santa Clarita, Ca. 91390

Or Assessor's Id. Number 3244-115-032

To whom it may concern, We are aware of the Antelop-Pardee proposed 500-kv route. We have major concerns about the project. We have 745' of property that borders this route which is in our back yard. I fear for my family and neighboring friends for the following reasons.

1. We think that the excessive energy that is omitted from these lines will cause some type of cancer or reaction to our kids. This has been an issue that you have been dealing with in all neighborhoods. Such as the project that happened in Newport Beach, which they ended up hafting to rout the lines underground.

C.225-1

2. We now have 3 towers in our backyard. We heard that they are to remove one of the towers and replace it with a double tower. The towers that are there now are about 100' above my house and about 150' from that house. It already is a eyesore to us. If you plane on putting a double decker tower in, this will be like living in a prison. If this project goes through and the big tower is installed, this will depreciate my property so much that I will have no other source but to do legal actions. It's not right for one or a few people to loose there property value, "Unwillingly" for the benefit of the rest of SCE subscribers.

C.225-2

3. We do not here any noise at all with the current conditions now, even when the rain comes. It's my understating the new conditions would buzz, which I and my family are light sleepers, and this could become an hazardous burden on our heath. This is one of there reasons we bought this house. No noise with no neighboring on top of us.

C.225-3

4. At the present time we do get AM and FM radio with no static. What will the new effect have on us not able to get all of my present conditions such as AM and FM radio. If the new line would have any restrictions on my present conditions, then it needs to be thought about in a different way. These are things that should not be taken away, or lightly at any time.

C.225-4

5. When I was at the last meeting, there was a big discussion on the Movie Ranch up Haskell Canyon. They are looking into moving the power grids outside the Movie Ranch to not impose on his Filming revenues. I think that if you are able to do this for one such property, then you should do the same for other such property. I have 4 acres of property that is currently filming and continue to want to film as part of my revenue. I think that the new grid will hamper my sight and would stop the filming on my property along with my revenue stream. I would only recommend that the new lines be moved out to the forest, or underground.

C.225-5

6. I have done some research and found that there are other ways to route you lines, which will not interfere with any residences. You have several Tower grids that are running east / west in the Angeles National Forest that you can hook up with and come down by the back side of the Wayside Prison. It is a sorter rout and has much less impact on the public.

C.225-6

I hope that you take all of these concerns with respect to the actual people that haft to deal with living in these conditions. Hopefully you have or find your dream house but won't haft to have your dreams thrown away by other actions such as this. If you have any questions please call. Home 661-296-1855 or Cell 818-262-7473, and please keep me up with all the meetings and decisions.

Thank You,



Joshua Stewart

## Response to Comment Set C.225: Joshua Stewart

- C.225-1 Please see General Response GR-3 for information regarding EMF impacts.
- C.225-2 Please see General Response GR-1 for information regarding effects on property values.
- C.225-3 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.10.5, corona noise (Impact N-3) during rain or heavy fog would result in a noise level at the edge of the proposed ROW of around 56 dBA, which would equate to a noise level of approximately 50 dBA at the closest residential sensitive receptor. For comparison purposes, as shown in Figure C.10-1, these noise levels would coincide with that of a refrigerator. It was further determined that the occurrence of this noise level would be periodic and would occur infrequently. Therefore, it was determined that corona noise impacts to residential receptors would not be significant and no mitigation is recommended (Class III). As discussed in Section C.10.8.2, Alternative 3 would result in the same noise impacts as those identified for the proposed Project.
- C.225-4 As discussed in Draft EIR Section C.6, the proposed Project and alternatives would cause radio or television interference (Impact PH-5). Mitigation Measures PH-5a (Limit the Conductor Surface Electric Gradient) and PH-5b (Document and Resolve Electronic Interference Complaints) would be implemented to reduce Impact PH-5 to a less-than-significant level.
- C.225-5 Please see General Response GR-4 for information regarding alternatives identification, screening, and analysis. Impacts to the Veluzat Motion Picture Ranch were identified early in the scoping process and therefore an alternative was developed to avoid or minimize impacts to filming activities at this ranch. EIR/EIS preparers are reliant upon the public and agencies to provide information about potential impacts and possible alternatives to address those impacts.
- While we understand the commenters concern regarding impacts to filming at their specific property, without knowledge of where the property is located an analysis of whether the project will prevent future filming activities at that site is not possible. If we assume the location for filming is the residences address provided (2163 Kyra Court, Santa Clarita), based on the mapped location provided by MapQuest.com, within the vicinity the proposed Project, alternatives (except Alternative 1) would be located in the existing Pardee-Vincent corridor. As such, the existing transmission lines would already limit filming angles and views, and the proposed Project and Alternatives would not significantly affect future filming activities.
- C.225-6 Thank you for your suggestion regarding a potential alternative route to avoid homes in Santa Clarita. Please see response to Comment A.8-6 regarding the City of Santa Clarita's suggestion for an alternative that would meet this same goal.